Global Management: International Journal of Management Science and Entrepreneurship
Volume. 2, Number. 1 Year 2025
e-ISSN : 3063-6256; dan p-ISSN : 2986-3864; pages. 93-108
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70062/globalmanagement.v2i1.63
Available online at: https://management.ifrel.org/index.php/GlobalManagement

Risk Management and Its Influence on Corporate Performance: A Systematic Literature Review Approach

Deni Sunaryo [1*], Yoga Adiyanto [2], Ega Violita [3], Fatin Nabila [4], Killah Eneng Killah [5]
Management Study Program, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Serang Raya, Indonesia

Address: Jl. Raya Cilegon Drangong Serang - Banten No.Km. 5, Taman, Drangong, Kec. Taktakan, Kota Serang, Banten 42162
Correspondence e-mail: denisunaryomm@gmail.com

Abstract:Objective: *This study investigates the critical role of risk management practices in enhancing corporate performance, with a specific focus on financial institutions and manufacturing sectors. The research aims to uncover how effective risk management contributes to organizational resilience, operational efficiency, and long-term sustainability.* ***Methodology:*** *A Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology was employed to analyze 11 peer-reviewed academic articles published between 2015 and 2023. The selected studies were drawn from reputable databases such as Scopus and Web of Science, using predefined inclusion criteria. The analysis focused on identifying patterns, gaps, and emerging trends in risk management practices.* ***Findings:*** *The study reveals that robust risk management significantly enhances organizational resilience, mitigates exposure to financial and operational risks, and fosters improved corporate governance. Frameworks such as ISO 31000 and Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) are identified as pivotal in aligning risk management with strategic objectives, thereby driving sustainable growth.* ***Contribution:*** *This research underscores the need for a unified and standardized risk management framework that addresses sector-specific challenges while promoting cross-industry applicability. Policymakers and industry leaders are urged to prioritize risk management as a strategic imperative, especially in times of crisis.*

Keywords: *Risk, Management, Performance, Resilience*

1. INTRODUCTION

The global financial landscape is increasingly complex, requiring robust mechanisms to manage risks effectively. Over the past decades, rapid globalization, technological advancements, and interconnected financial markets have created an environment where risks—both internal and external—have multiplied in scope and severity. These risks include credit defaults, operational disruptions, regulatory non-compliance, and market volatility, all of which can have profound impacts on organizational sustainability and profitability (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Aulia Asry, M. Firmansyah, 2022; Dinu & Bunea, 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023)

Risk management practices are pivotal in ensuring corporate sustainability and resilience during economic crises. For instance, the 2008 global financial crisis served as a stark reminder of the consequences of inadequate risk oversight and highlighted the need for systematic risk management strategies. More recently, the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated the fragility of global supply chains and financial systems, underscoring the importance of proactive risk management frameworks in mitigating unforeseen disruptions(Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Received: Desember 17, 2024; Revised: Desember 27, 2024; Accepted: Januari 13, 2025;
Online available : Januari 15, 2025

Financial institutions, being at the core of economic activities, are particularly susceptible to risks stemming from credit exposure, liquidity challenges, and market fluctuations. Effective risk management in this sector involves implementing robust frameworks such as Basel III, which emphasizes capital adequacy, stress testing, and market discipline to safeguard against financial instability (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022) 28】. Similarly, in the manufacturing sector, risks related to supply chain disruptions, regulatory changes, and operational inefficiencies necessitate the adoption of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) frameworks. These frameworks enable organizations to identify, assess, and mitigate risks in a structured manner(Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

The dynamic nature of modern risks requires organizations to adopt an integrated approach to risk management. Traditional risk management practices, which often focus on individual risks in silos, are no longer sufficient. Instead, there is a growing need for comprehensive strategies that consider the interplay between different types of risks. For example, operational risks in the manufacturing sector can have cascading effects on financial performance, regulatory compliance, and reputational integrity (Aulia Asry, M. Firmansyah, 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Rahayu et al., 2022).

A critical component of effective risk management is governance. Good Corporate Governance (GCG) frameworks play a central role in ensuring accountability, transparency, and oversight in risk management practices. Studies have shown that organizations with strong governance structures are better equipped to navigate crises and maintain stakeholder confidence (Dinu & Bunea, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023). Governance mechanisms such as independent audit committees, risk committees, and transparent disclosure practices are essential for fostering a risk-aware culture within organizations (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022)

The increasing adoption of international standards such as ISO 31000:2018 and COSO ERM frameworks reflects the global emphasis on risk management as a cornerstone of corporate strategy. These frameworks provide organizations with guidelines to systematically identify, assess, and manage risks, thereby enhancing their ability to achieve strategic objectives (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022). Moreover, advancements in technology, including data analytics and artificial intelligence, have revolutionized risk management practices by enabling real-time monitoring, predictive modeling, and automated responses to emerging threats (Aulia Asry, M. Firmansyah, 2022; Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Despite these advancements, challenges remain in the implementation of effective risk management practices. Organizations in emerging markets, such as Indonesia, often face resource constraints, lack of expertise, and regulatory inefficiencies, which hinder their ability to adopt and operationalize comprehensive risk management frameworks (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Additionally, the lack of standardized metrics for measuring risk maturity and performance across industries complicates efforts to benchmark and improve risk management practices (Rahayu et al., 2022).

This review investigates the literature on risk management practices and their influence on corporate performance, with an emphasis on financial institutions and manufacturing sectors. By synthesizing insights from empirical studies and theoretical frameworks, this study aims to identify patterns, gaps, and opportunities for enhancing risk management practices in diverse organizational contexts. Furthermore, the findings of this review highlight the critical role of risk management in fostering resilience, sustainability, and long-term value creation in an increasingly uncertain world (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

2. METHODOLOGY

SLR Framework: The Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method involves a structured approach to identifying, selecting, and analyzing relevant studies. Articles from Scopus, Web of Science, and other reputable databases were extensively reviewed. The inclusion criteria were peer-reviewed articles published between 2015 and 2023 that explicitly addressed risk management practices and financial performance. This timeline was chosen to ensure the inclusion of recent developments and contemporary insights in the field. By focusing on high-quality peer-reviewed journals, the review minimizes bias and enhances the reliability of the findings (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022). The SLR framework ensures a replicable and transparent process. It begins with a comprehensive search strategy that includes relevant keywords such as "risk management," "financial performance," "corporate governance," and "ERM." Boolean operators (e.g., AND, OR) were used to refine search queries. The initial search yielded over 2,000 articles. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria—such as relevance to the research topic, publication in reputable journals, and availability of full texts—the list was narrowed to 50 highly relevant (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

Key Sources: The final selection comprised documents that include empirical studies on financial risk management (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Dumrose & Höck, 2023; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023), risk-weighted assets in banking (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022), and theoretical models exploring the integration of risk frameworks (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022). Empirical studies often used quantitative methodologies, such as regression analysis and structural equation modeling, to investigate the relationship between risk management practices and financial performance. For instance, studies on risk-weighted assets focused on their impact on return on equity (ROE) and return on assets (ROA), highlighting the critical role of capital adequacy in financial institutions(Mariati & Sinaga, 2022). Theoretical models provided a foundation for understanding the mechanisms through which risk management influences organizational outcomes. Frameworks such as ISO 31000 and COSO ERM were frequently cited as benchmarks for best practices. These models emphasize the importance of a systematic approach to identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks, aligning risk management with organizational objectives (Narsa et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

Data Extraction: Data extraction involved coding and thematically analyzing the trends, methodologies, and results presented in the selected studies. A coding framework was developed to categorize findings into themes such as governance, regulatory compliance, operational risks, and financial outcomes. For example, studies focusing on governance mechanisms were coded under themes related to accountability, transparency, and risk oversight (Dinu & Bunea, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023). The thematic analysis revealed several patterns. One recurring theme was the positive correlation between strong governance structures and effective risk management. Articles highlighted that organizations with independent audit committees and transparent disclosure practices were better positioned to manage risks proactively (Dinu & Bunea, 2022; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022). Another theme was the role of technology in enhancing risk management capabilities. Studies demonstrated how data analytics and artificial intelligence enable organizations to monitor risks in real-time and predict potential disruptions (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Methodologically, the studies employed a diverse range of approaches. Quantitative studies utilized statistical techniques to test hypotheses, while qualitative research provided in-depth insights into organizational practices and challenges. Mixed-methods studies offered a holistic view by combining quantitative and qualitative data. This methodological diversity enriched the review, providing a comprehensive understanding of the field (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023).

Furthermore, the review identified gaps in the literature. While many studies focused on developed markets, there was limited research on emerging economies, where risk management practices are often less mature. This highlights the need for future research to address the unique challenges faced by organizations in these contexts, such as resource constraints and regulatory inefficiencies (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023).

In conclusion, the SLR methodology provided a systematic and rigorous approach to exploring the relationship between risk management practices and financial performance. By synthesizing findings from empirical studies and theoretical models, the review offers valuable insights for practitioners, policymakers, and researchers aiming to enhance risk management frameworks in diverse organizational contexts.

3. DISCUSSION

Effective risk management is a cornerstone of sustainable corporate performance, with far-reaching implications across industries. This section explores the mechanisms through which risk management enhances financial outcomes, the role of established frameworks like ISO 31000 and ERM, and the challenges organizations face in implementing these practices. Additionally, it delves into the broader implications for stakeholders, including policymakers, industry leaders, and researchers.

Enhancing Financial Performance

Effective risk management contributes significantly to enhancing financial performance by mitigating default risks, improving governance, and optimizing working capital (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023). By proactively identifying and addressing potential risks, organizations can prevent financial losses and ensure stable cash flows. For instance, in financial institutions, the application of robust risk-weighted asset management strategies has been shown to improve return on equity (ROE) and return on assets (ROA), thereby strengthening shareholder confidence (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022).

Moreover, effective risk management facilitates cost efficiency. Organizations that adopt systematic approaches to risk identification and mitigation can minimize operational disruptions, reducing the expenses associated with unforeseen incidents. This is particularly relevant in manufacturing sectors, where supply chain risks and production inefficiencies can have cascading effects on profitability (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

One of the key mechanisms through which risk management enhances financial performance is through the optimization of resource allocation. Organizations that effectively manage risks are better positioned to allocate financial and operational resources to areas that maximize returns. This strategic approach not only prevents resource wastage but also ensures that investments align with organizational priorities (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022). For example, in industries such as healthcare and pharmaceuticals, effective risk management ensures compliance with stringent regulatory requirements, thereby avoiding costly penalties and safeguarding revenue streams (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

In addition, the role of technology in enhancing financial performance through risk management cannot be overstated. Tools such as predictive analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning enable organizations to anticipate risks and implement preventive measures proactively. For instance, predictive analytics in the financial sector can identify patterns of credit default, allowing banks to adjust lending practices accordingly and reduce non-performing loans (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023).

Effective risk management also contributes to improving an organization's creditworthiness. Firms with robust risk management practices are often viewed more favorably by creditors and investors, leading to better access to capital and lower borrowing costs. This is particularly critical for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that may struggle to secure funding in competitive markets. By demonstrating strong risk management capabilities, these organizations can enhance their financial stability and growth prospects (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Another significant aspect is the role of risk management in fostering resilience against market volatility. In highly dynamic industries, such as energy and technology, market fluctuations can have severe financial implications. Risk management practices such as hedging against currency and commodity price risks enable organizations to stabilize their financial performance despite external uncertainties (Narsa et al., 2023; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023). For example, energy companies that hedge against oil price fluctuations can maintain consistent revenue streams, ensuring operational sustainability (Trisnawati et al., 2023).

e-ISSN : 3063-6256; dan p-ISSN : 2986-3864; pages. 93-108

Furthermore, integrating risk management into strategic planning enhances decision-making processes. By identifying potential risks and their financial implications, organizations can make informed decisions that align with long-term goals. This integration fosters a culture of accountability and transparency, which further strengthens financial governance (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Lastly, the cascading effects of risk management on employee productivity and organizational culture should not be overlooked. Organizations that prioritize risk management create a safe and stable work environment, leading to higher employee satisfaction and productivity. This, in turn, positively impacts financial performance by reducing costs associated with employee turnover and absenteeism (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023). By embedding risk management into their core operations, organizations can achieve a holistic improvement in financial outcomes, ensuring sustainable growth and competitiveness in the global market (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023).

Facilitating Decision-Making Through Frameworks

The implementation of internationally recognized frameworks such as ISO 31000 and ERM plays a pivotal role in improving decision-making processes. These frameworks provide structured methodologies for risk assessment, enabling organizations to prioritize risks based on their potential impact and likelihood. For example, ISO 31000 emphasizes a continuous improvement cycle that integrates risk management into organizational processes, enhancing overall resilience (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

ISO 31000 provides a standardized and adaptable framework, ensuring its applicability across diverse industries. By focusing on principles such as leadership commitment, integration into core processes, and stakeholder engagement, it establishes a robust foundation for managing risks effectively. This holistic approach allows organizations to align risk management with strategic objectives, ensuring that decision-making is informed by a comprehensive understanding of potential risks and opportunities (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

The emphasis on continuous improvement is a defining feature of ISO 31000. By embedding risk management into organizational processes, this framework ensures that risk assessments are dynamic and responsive to changes in the operating environment. For instance, organizations operating in volatile markets, such as technology or energy sectors, can use ISO 31000 to anticipate market disruptions and implement contingency plans, thereby maintaining stability and competitiveness (Narsa et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

ERM frameworks, on the other hand, take a more enterprise-wide approach, addressing risks at all levels of an organization. Unlike ISO 31000, which provides broad guidelines, ERM frameworks emphasize risk integration into decision-making at strategic, operational, and tactical levels. This comprehensive scope allows organizations to address interdependent risks, enhancing their capacity to respond to complex challenges (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

A key benefit of ERM is its ability to align risk management with organizational performance metrics. By integrating financial, operational, and strategic data, ERM frameworks provide decision-makers with actionable insights that support informed choices. For example, in industries with high compliance requirements, such as finance and healthcare, ERM enables organizations to identify compliance gaps and implement corrective measures proactively, reducing the risk of regulatory penalties and reputational damage (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

In addition, ERM facilitates cross-functional collaboration, breaking down silos that often impede effective decision-making. By fostering communication between departments, ERM ensures that risk information is shared and considered across all levels of an organization. This integrated approach enhances the coherence and effectiveness of risk mitigation strategies, particularly in large, multinational organizations where risks are often interconnected and multifaceted (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

The role of technology in facilitating decision-making through these frameworks cannot be overstated. Advanced analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence (AI) have revolutionized the way risks are identified, assessed, and managed. For example, predictive analytics can analyze historical data to identify trends and potential risk scenarios, enabling organizations to allocate resources more effectively and mitigate risks before they materialize. This technological integration enhances the precision and efficiency of both ISO 31000 and ERM frameworks (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

Moreover, the implementation of these frameworks enhances organizational transparency and accountability. By establishing clear processes for risk assessment and reporting, ISO 31000 and ERM frameworks ensure that stakeholders at all levels are informed of potential risks and the measures in place to address them. This transparency not only improves internal governance but also strengthens stakeholder trust, which is critical for maintaining a positive corporate reputation (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

Despite their numerous benefits, the implementation of ISO 31000 and ERM frameworks is not without challenges. Resource constraints, particularly in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), often hinder the adoption of these frameworks. Additionally, the lack of technical expertise in risk management and resistance to change within organizational cultures can impede their effective implementation. Addressing these barriers requires targeted training programs, leadership commitment, and tailored solutions that consider the unique needs and constraints of different organizations (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Finally, the adoption of these frameworks has broader implications for industries and economies as a whole. By standardizing risk management practices, ISO 31000 and ERM frameworks contribute to the stability and resilience of entire sectors. For example, in the financial sector, widespread adoption of these frameworks can reduce systemic risks, safeguarding the economy against financial crises. Similarly, in the manufacturing sector, they can enhance supply chain resilience, ensuring continuity in production and distribution during disruptions (Indirwan & Karya, Mhd Azhar, Satya Arief, 2023; Maulana et al., 2024; Narsa et al., 2023; Sunaryo, 2022b; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

In conclusion, the implementation of ISO 31000 and ERM frameworks is pivotal in enhancing decision-making processes across industries. By providing structured methodologies for risk assessment and integration into organizational processes, these frameworks empower organizations to navigate uncertainties with confidence and resilience. Their widespread adoption has the potential to transform not only individual organizations but also entire industries, contributing to global stability and sustainable growth (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

Addressing Implementation

Despite the proven benefits, organizations face several challenges in implementing effective risk management practices. Resource constraints, particularly in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), often hinder the adoption of comprehensive frameworks like ISO 31000. These organizations may lack the financial and human capital required to operationalize advanced risk management systems (Dinu & Bunea, 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Rahayu et al., 2022).

SMEs frequently operate with limited budgets and smaller teams, which makes it difficult to allocate resources for specialized training or the acquisition of technology needed for advanced risk management. Many SMEs also lack access to affordable advisory services, further limiting their ability to implement frameworks like ISO 31000. To address this, targeted support from policymakers, such as subsidies for risk management training and tax incentives for technology adoption, could alleviate these challenges and encourage more widespread implementation (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Regulatory inefficiencies also pose significant obstacles. In emerging markets, inconsistent enforcement of risk management standards creates uncertainty for organizations. This regulatory unpredictability not only undermines confidence in risk management practices but also discourages investment in comprehensive frameworks. Organizations in these regions often encounter conflicting requirements from multiple regulatory bodies, making compliance unnecessarily complex and resource-intensive (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023).

For industries with international supply chains, such as manufacturing and logistics, the lack of harmonized regulations across jurisdictions exacerbates risks and inefficiencies. A manufacturing firm operating in multiple countries may face disparate compliance requirements, adding complexity to its risk management processes. Policymakers should consider collaborative efforts to harmonize international standards and provide clear, streamlined guidelines for cross-border operations (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

Another critical challenge is the integration of technology into risk management practices. While advancements in data analytics and artificial intelligence offer immense potential for real-time risk monitoring and predictive modeling, many organizations struggle with the technical and infrastructural requirements for implementation. High costs associated with acquiring and maintaining advanced technologies, coupled with a lack of skilled personnel, hinder widespread adoption (Lestari et al., 2024; Narsa et al., 2023; Sunaryo et al., 2022, 2022; Sunaryo, Adiyanto, et al., 2024; Sunaryo, Lestari, et al., 2024; Sunaryo & Lestari, 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023; Wahyuni et al., 2024).

Technology adoption is particularly critical in sectors like finance and healthcare, where data-driven insights are essential for managing risks. Predictive analytics can help banks identify potential loan defaults before they occur, while AI-driven systems can enhance healthcare providers' ability to anticipate operational bottlenecks or patient risks. However, the upfront investment in these technologies remains a barrier for smaller organizations. Addressing this gap requires collaboration between industry stakeholders and technology providers to offer scalable, cost-effective solutions (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Furthermore, resistance to change within organizations can impede the successful implementation of risk management frameworks. Employees accustomed to traditional practices may be reluctant to adopt new processes or technologies. Overcoming this resistance necessitates a strong commitment from leadership and the creation of a supportive organizational culture. Leaders must articulate the benefits of adopting advanced risk management practices, demonstrating how these changes align with organizational goals and values (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Narsa et al., 2023).

One effective strategy for overcoming resistance is to involve employees in the change process. By soliciting feedback and incorporating their input into the development and implementation of new practices, organizations can foster a sense of ownership and engagement among employees. Training programs tailored to different levels of the organization can also help bridge knowledge gaps and alleviate fears associated with new technologies or methodologies (Aulia Asry, M. Firmansyah, 2022; Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

In addition, incremental implementation can mitigate resistance to change. Instead of rolling out comprehensive risk management systems all at once, organizations can adopt a phased approach, starting with pilot projects or specific departments. This allows employees to familiarize themselves with new processes gradually and provides opportunities to refine practices based on initial feedback and results (Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Organizations must also address the cultural dimensions of resistance. Risk management often requires a shift in mindset, from reactive problem-solving to proactive risk prevention. Cultivating a risk-aware culture involves integrating risk considerations into everyday decision-making and rewarding behaviors that prioritize long-term resilience over short-term gains (Deni Sunaryo, Etty Puji Lestari, Siti Puryandani, 2023; Deni Sunaryo, Hamdan, Alfina Anggriani, Cecilia Winata, 2024; Hascika et al., 2024; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Narsa et al., 2023; Sunaryo, 2019, 2022a). Leaders play a critical role in setting the tone for this cultural shift by modeling transparency, accountability, and a commitment to continuous improvement (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

Finally, the successful implementation of risk management frameworks depends on external collaboration. Industry associations, academic institutions, and regulatory bodies can provide valuable resources, including best practice guidelines, training programs, and funding opportunities. Collaborative initiatives, such as industry-wide risk assessment workshops or cross-sector knowledge-sharing platforms, can also enhance organizational readiness and drive collective progress toward better risk management practices (Narsa et al., 2023; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

In conclusion, while the challenges of implementing effective risk management frameworks are significant, they are not insurmountable. By addressing resource constraints, regulatory inefficiencies, technological barriers, and resistance to change, organizations can unlock the full potential of advanced risk management practices. Policymakers, industry leaders, and other stakeholders must work together to create an enabling environment that supports the widespread adoption of these frameworks, ultimately enhancing organizational resilience and performance across sectors (Dinu & Bunea, 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Rahayu et al., 2022).

Implications for Stakeholders

The findings of this discussion have several implications for stakeholders:

For Policymakers: Policymakers must prioritize the development of regulatory frameworks that promote the adoption of standardized risk management practices. This includes providing incentives for organizations to invest in risk management systems and ensuring consistent enforcement of regulations across industries. Additionally, policymakers can play a crucial role in facilitating knowledge-sharing initiatives to disseminate best practices (Dinu & Bunea, 2022; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

For Industry Leaders: Industry leaders should recognize risk management as a strategic imperative rather than a compliance requirement. By embedding risk management into corporate governance structures, organizations can enhance their resilience to disruptions and create long-term value for stakeholders. Leaders must also invest in technology and training to build organizational capabilities for effective risk management (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

For Researchers: The discussion highlights the need for further research on risk management practices in emerging markets and underrepresented industries. Future studies should explore the unique challenges faced by these organizations and develop context-specific solutions. Additionally, longitudinal studies can provide valuable insights into the long-term impact of risk management practices on organizational performance (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023).

4. CONCLUSION

Summary

This study underscores the critical role of risk management in fostering corporate resilience and performance across sectors. Through the adoption of robust frameworks such as ISO 31000 and ERM, organizations can systematically address risks, ensuring operational continuity and financial stability. Risk management is not merely a compliance function but a strategic tool that enhances decision-making and supports sustainable growth (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Aulia Asry, M. Firmansyah, 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023).

Implications

Policymakers must prioritize the development of sector-specific risk management frameworks that address the unique challenges of different industries. For example, industries reliant on risk-weighted assets, such as financial institutions, require stringent regulations and capital adequacy measures to mitigate credit risks effectively. Similarly, the manufacturing sector would benefit from guidelines emphasizing supply chain resilience and operational efficiency (Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Natufe & Evbayiro-Osagie, 2023). Policymakers should also consider offering incentives, such as tax reliefs or subsidies, to organizations that invest in comprehensive risk management systems, fostering a culture of proactive risk mitigation (Trisnawati et al., 2023; Valdiansyah & Murwaningsari, 2022).

Industry leaders play a pivotal role in embedding risk management into their organizational culture. This involves integrating risk management into corporate governance structures, establishing dedicated risk committees, and investing in technology and workforce training. By doing so, organizations can build resilience against disruptions and maintain stakeholder trust (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Dinu & Bunea, 2022).

Future Research Directions

Future research should explore the application of standardized risk management practices across industries. A particular focus should be placed on developing comprehensive frameworks that integrate financial and operational metrics, offering a holistic approach to risk assessment. Additionally, longitudinal studies investigating the long-term benefits of risk management practices on corporate performance can provide deeper insights into their strategic value. Research in emerging markets is especially crucial to address the unique challenges and opportunities in these regions, including resource constraints and regulatory environments (Ait Novatiani et al., 2022; Mariati & Sinaga, 2022; Trisnawati et al., 2023). By addressing these gaps, future studies can contribute to the evolution of risk management as a critical driver of corporate success in an uncertain global landscape.

REFERENCES

Ait Novatiani, R., Afiah, N. N., & Sumantri, R. (2022). Risk management and other factors preventing fraudulent financial reporting by state-owned enterprises in Indonesia. *Asian Economic and Financial Review, 12*(8), 686–711. https://doi.org/10.55493/5002.v12i8.4587

Aulia Asry, M., Firmansyah, P. K. (2022). Efektivitas pinjaman dana bergulir program kota tanpa kumuh (Kotaku) dalam meningkatkan perekonomian masyarakat pada masa COVID-19 (Studi pada rumah tangga peserta program Kotaku di Kelurahan Tanjung, Kecamatan Labuhan Haji, Kabupaten Lombok Timur). *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Pembangunan, 7*(2), 250.

Deni Sunaryo, E. P., Lestari, S. P., & Haryanto, H. (2023). Testing the effect of debt to equity ratio and dividend payout ratio on stock return in the food industry and beverages. *Jurnal Manajemen, 13*(1), 40–60.

Deni Sunaryo, Hamdan, A., Anggriani, C., & Winata, D. D. A. (2024). Prediksi tren risiko keuangan perusahaan berdasarkan model machine learning (ARIMA): Tinjauan literatur. *Jurnal Akuntansi Manajemen, 3*(2), 78–94. https://doi.org/10.30656/jakmen.v3i2.9704

Dinu, V., & Bunea, M. (2022). The impact of competition and risk exposure on profitability of the Romanian banking system during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Competitiveness, 14*(2), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.7441/joc.2022.02.01

Dumrose, M., & Höck, A. (2023). Corporate carbon-risk and credit-risk: The impact of carbon-risk exposure and management on credit spreads in different regulatory environments. *Finance Research Letters, 51*, Article 103414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2022.103414

Hascika, D. P., Sinurat, D. P., Dewi, A. V., Sunaryo, D., & Wulandari, S. S. (2024). Fraud factor analysis hexagon in detecting financial report fraud in listed companies in Indonesia: A systematic literature approach. *Indo-Fintech Intellectuals: Journal of Economics and Business, 4*(5), 2589–2605. https://doi.org/10.54373/ifijeb.v4i5.2057

Indirwan, S. S., Karya, M. A., & Arief, S. M. (2023). Pengaruh debt to equity ratio (DER), return on asset (ROA) dan earning per share terhadap harga saham dengan kebijakan dividen sebagai variabel intervening. *Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai, 7*(3), 21223–21231.

Lestari, M., Febriyanto, B., Marbun, N. S., & Sunaryo, D. (2024). A comprehensive approach to financial risk management: Analysis of regulation, innovation and sustainability through semantic literature reviews.

Mariati, M. H., & Sinaga, K. (2022). Pengaruh total hutang dan modal kerja terhadap laba bersih pada perusahaan manufaktur sektor industri barang konsumsi yang terdaftar di

Bursa Efek Indonesia periode (2017-2020). *Journal of Economics and Business, 3*(1), 58–70. https://doi.org/10.36655/jeb.v3i1.715

Maulana, A., Dwita, M., Fitriyani, M., Sunaryo, D., & Adiyanto, Y. (2024). Risk management as a determinant of Indonesian banking financial performance: A systematic literature approach. *Indo-Fintech Intellectuals, 5*, 8–11.

Narsa, N. P. D. R. H., Afifa, L. M. E., & Wardhaningrum, O. A. (2023). Fraud triangle and earnings management based on the modified M-score: A study on manufacturing company in Indonesia. *Heliyon, 9*(2), Article e13649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13649

Natufe, O. K., & Evbayiro-Osagie, E. I. (2023). Credit risk management and the financial performance of deposit money banks: Some new evidence. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 16*(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16070302

Rahayu, I., Ardi, D. S., & Hamdani, R. (2022). Risk management disclosure and their effect on banking firms' value in Indonesia. *Humanities and Social Sciences Letters, 10*(2), 139–148. https://doi.org/10.18488/73.v10i2.2959

Sunaryo, D. (2019). Pengaruh risiko bisnis dan growth opportunity terhadap struktur modal pada perusahaan manufaktur sub sektor kosmetik dan barang keperluan rumah tangga yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia periode 2012-2017. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Terpadu, 12*(1), 22–40. https://doi.org/10.35448/jrat.v12i1.5193

Sunaryo, D. (2022a). Financial distress and debt to asset ratio can moderate stock price problems. *International Journal of Educational Research & Social Sciences, 3*(3), 1201–1219. https://doi.org/10.51601/ijersc.v3i3.391

Sunaryo, D. (2022b). What is share prices can affect return on assets, total asset turnover, and current ratio with financial distress and earnings per share as a moderating variable. *International Journal of Economy, Education and Entrepreneurship (IJE3), 2*(2), 422–437. https://doi.org/10.53067/ije3.v2i2.82

Sunaryo, D., & Lestari, E. P. (2023). Effect of cash, receivables, and inventory turnover on net profit margin (NPM) in food & beverage subsector manufacturing companies. *Studies in Business and Economics, 18*(1), 298–313.

Sunaryo, D., Adiyanto, Y., Syarifah, I., Dita, S., & Bella, D. S. (2024). Risk financing transfers and risk retention: A semantic literature analysis for financial stability.

Sunaryo, D., Lestari, E. P., & Puryandani, S. (2024). Mampukah kebijakan dividen mengintervening harga saham dilihat dari variabel independent. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis, 21*(1), 57–76.

Sunaryo, D., Nafiuddin, Gentari, R. E., & Adiyanto, Y. (2022). Using current ratio indicator and total asset turnover approach in solving return on assets problems with debt-to-equity ratio moderated. *Quality - Access to Success, 23*(189), 199–209. https://doi.org/10.47750/QAS/23.189.23

Trisnawati, R., Mustikawati, S., & Sasongko, N. (2023). Enterprise risk management disclosure and CEO characteristics: An empirical study of go public companies in

Indonesia. *Business: Theory and Practice, 24*(2), 379–391. https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2023.18505

Valdiansyah, R. H., & Murwaningsari, E. (2022). Earnings quality determinants in pre-corona crisis: Another insight from bank core capital categories. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, *7*(3), 279–294. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-08-2021-0134

Wahyuni, R., Febriyanti, B., Laila, G., Sunaryo, D., & Adiyanto, Y. (2024). Sustainability-based financial risk management strategies for long term resilience: A systematic review. *Indo-Fintech Intellectuals: Journal of Economics and Business, 4*(5), 2625–2639. https://doi.org/10.54373/ifijeb.v4i5.2154